

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R	A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
08/850,353	05/02/97	KIM		Υ	PC9563JTJ	
-		HM22/0530	ı	E	EXAMINER	
GREGG C BENSON		ringal/ occorr	•	WHITE, E		
PFIZER INC				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EASTERN PO		• •		1623	19	
GROTON CT	06340		DA	TE MAILED:	05/30/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

08/850,353

Everett White

Applicatit(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit 1623

KIM



Advisory Action

TH	C DC	
	C F C	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) [2	•
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date	extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The con which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of mining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be lated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appe	ellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on
Ap bu	plica t is N	nt's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 19, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
	The	proposed amendment(s):
		vill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	□ ·	vill not be entered because:
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	N	OTE:
		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Nev	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Vly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	Nev sepa	would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because:
	Nev separate The for	vly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	New separate for of to 103	why proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC
	New separate for of to 103 The the	would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 1(a). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	Nev sept The for of t 103 The the	would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 1(a). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Nev separate for of to 103 The the For Clair	would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 1(a). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection.
	Nev septor The for of t 103 The the For Clair	would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 1(a). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: NONE
	New sept The for of t 103 The the For Clair Clair The	would be allowable if submitted in a arate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 1(a). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: NONE ms objected to: NONE ms rejected: 1-3 proposed drawing correction filed on
	New sept The for of t 103 The the For Clair Clair The	would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit, or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 1(a). affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: NONE ms objected to: NONE ms rejected: 1-3
	Newseporther The for the the Clair Clair The Not	would be allowable if submitted in a sarate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC [18] affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: NONE ms objected to: NONE ms objected to: NONE ms rejected: 1-3 proposed drawing correction filed on
	Newseporther The for the the Clair Clair The Not	would be allowable if submitted in a sarate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC [18] affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: NONE ms objected to: NONE ms objected to: NONE ms rejected: 1-3 proposed drawing correction filed on
	New seption of the formula of the the Clair Clai	would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because: the reasons already of record in the final rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph and 35 USC 123. affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by Examiner in the final rejection. purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): ms allowed: NONE ms objected to: NONE ms objected to: NONE ms rejected: 1-3 proposed drawing correction filed on